organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Vicki Ronaldson, John. M. D. Storey and William T. A. Harrison*

Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Meston Walk, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, Scotland

Correspondence e-mail: w.harrison@abdn.ac.uk

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 120 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.032 wR factor = 0.074 Data-to-parameter ratio = 18.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

N-(2-Bromophenyl)acetamide

The title compound, C_8H_8BrNO , posseses normal geometrical parameters. The crystal packing is influenced by an intermolecular $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bond.

Comment

The title compound, C_8H_8BrNO , (I), (Fig. 1) was prepared as an intermediate in a natural product synthesis.



The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the benzene ring (atoms C1-C6) and the N1/O1/C7/C8 side-chain grouping in (I) is 42.75 $(14)^{\circ}$. This is intermediate between the situation in acetanilide [i.e. (I) without the Br atom], C₈H₉NO (Brown, 1966; Wasserman et al., 1985), where the aromatic ring and side chain are twisted by 17.6°, and N-methylacetanilide, $C_9H_{11}NO$ (Pederson, 1967), where the two corresponding groups of atoms are constrained by symmetry to be perpendicular. The C_{ar} – N (ar = aromatic) bond distances are almost identical in (I) and acetanilide (Brown, 1966), being 1.418 (4) and 1.417 (2) Å respectively, as are the $C_c - N$ (c = carbonyl) distances, at 1.358 (4) and 1.355 (2) Å, respectively. The equivalent distances in N-methylacetanilide (Pederson, 1967), where any electronic conjugation between the benzene ring and amide group is presumably impossible because of their perpendicular orientation, are distinctly different, with Car-N much longer at 1.474 Å and C_c-N significantly shorter at 1.325 Å.

The bond angle sum about N1 in (I) is 360.0° , suggesting that this atom is essentially sp^2 -hybridized. All the other geometrical parameters for (I) lie within their expected ranges (Allen *et al.*, 1995).

The crystal packing in (I) is influenced by an N-H···O hydrogen bond (Table 1 and Fig. 2) that links the molecules into chains propagating along [100]. There are no π - π stacking interactions in (I). The packing is shown in Fig. 3.

Experimental

2-Bromoaniline (3.44 g, 20.0 mmol) was added to a solution of acetyl chloride (1.88 g, 24.0 mmol) and DIPEA (N,N-diisopropylethylamine) (3.12 g, 24.0 mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (20 ml) at 273 K. On completion (as monitored by thin-layer chromatography), the reaction mixture was diluted with water (20 ml) and the product was

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 30 August 2005 Accepted 1 September 2005 Online 7 September 2005



Figure 1

View of (I) (50% probability displacement ellipsoids; H atoms are drawn as small spheres of arbitrary radii).



Figure 2

Detail of (I) showing how the N-H···O hydrogen bond (dashed lines) links molecules into a chain. The view direction is perpendicular to the mean plane of the benzene ring of the central molecule, showing that no π - π stacking occurs. All H atoms except atom H1 and its symmetry equivalents have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) x + 1, y, z.]

extracted with EtOAc (3 × 20 ml). The organic phase was then washed with water (2 × 20 ml) and brine (20 ml), then dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated under reduced pressure to yield the crude product, which was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂ to give (I) (yield 97%, 4.13 g) as clear needles; one of these was cut to a block for data collection; m.p. 363–364 K; $R_{\rm F}$ = 0.12 [hexane/EtOAc (5:1)]. IR (KBr disc, cm⁻¹): $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3272 (NH), 3159 (Ar–H), 1647 (C=O), 1518 (Ar C=C); ¹H NMR (250 MHz; CDCl₃): $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.21 (3H, *s*, CH₃), 6.97 (1H, *t*, *J* = 7.5 Hz, Ar–H), 7.29 (1H, *t*, *J* = 7.5 Hz, Ar–H), 7.51 (1H, *d*, *J* = 8.0 Hz, Ar–H), 7.61 (1H, *bs*, NH), 8.31 (1H, *d*, *J* = 7.5 Hz, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta_{\rm C}$ 24.9 (–COCH₃), 113.2 (Ar C–Br), 122.0, 125.2, 128.4, 132.2 (4 × Ar C), 135.7 (–CO–NH–*C*–), 168.3 (–C=O). Mass spectrum: [*M*+H]⁺ 212.979, C₈H₈BrNO requires 212.979.



Figure 3

The packing in (I), viewed down [100], with all H atoms except H1 omitted for clarity.

 $D_x = 1.713 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Cell parameters from 1977

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\theta = 2.9-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 4.89 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 120 (2) K

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\rm int} &= 0.037\\ \theta_{\rm max} &= 27.5^\circ \end{aligned}$

 $h = -6 \rightarrow 5$

 $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$

 $l = -18 \rightarrow 19$

Block, colourless

 $0.24 \times 0.10 \times 0.07 \ \mathrm{mm}$

1750 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Crystal data C_8H_8BrNO $M_r = 214.06$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 4.7790 (1) Å b = 11.9257 (4) Å c = 14.6703 (3) Å $\beta = 96.8173$ (16)° V = 830.19 (4) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer ω and φ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2003)

 $T_{\min} = 0.387, T_{\max} = 0.726$ 9212 measured reflections 1905 independent reflections

Refinement

| Refinement on F^2 | $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + 1.9011P]$ |
|---------------------------------|--|
| $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$ | where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ |
| $wR(F^2) = 0.074$ | $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ |
| S = 1.26 | $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.76 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ |
| 1905 reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.38 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ |
| 106 parameters | Extinction correction: SHELXL97 |
| H atoms treated by a mixture of | Extinction coefficient: 0.0163 (11) |
| independent and constrained | |
| refinement | |

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

| $D - H \cdots A$ | D-H | $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$ |
|---------------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| $N1-H1\cdotsO1^{i}$ | 0.83 (4) | 2.10 (4) | 2.896 (3) | 161 (3) |
| | | | | |

Symmetry code: (i) x - 1, y, z.

All the C-bound H atoms were placed in idealized positions (C– H = 0.95–0.98 Å) and refined as riding on their carriers with the constraint $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(\text{carrier})$ or $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(\text{methyl})$ carrier) applied. The methyl group was allowed to rotate about the C7–C8 bond as a rigid group. The N-bound H atom was located in a difference map and its position was freely refined with the constraint $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(N)$.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *SCALEPACK*, *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and *SORTAV* (Blessing, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We thank the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service (University of Swansea) and the EPSRC National Crystallography Service (University of Southampton) for data collections.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1995). *International Tables for Crystallography*, Vol. C, pp. 685–706. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Brown, C. J. (1966). Acta Cryst. 21, 442-445.
- Bruker (2003). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Pederson, B. F. (1967). Acta Chem. Scand. 21, 1415-1424.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Wasserman, H. J., Ryan, R. R. & Layne, S. P. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 783-785.