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## $N$-(2-Bromophenyl)acetamide

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{BrNO}$, posseses normal geometrical parameters. The crystal packing is influenced by an intermolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond.

## Comment

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{BrNO}$, (I), (Fig. 1) was prepared as an intermediate in a natural product synthesis.

(I)

The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the benzene ring (atoms $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ ) and the $\mathrm{N} 1 / \mathrm{O} 1 / \mathrm{C} 7 / \mathrm{C} 8$ side-chain grouping in (I) is $42.75(14)^{\circ}$. This is intermediate between the situation in acetanilide [i.e. (I) without the Br atom], $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}$ (Brown, 1966; Wasserman et al., 1985), where the aromatic ring and side chain are twisted by $17.6^{\circ}$, and $N$-methylacetanilide, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}$ (Pederson, 1967), where the two corresponding groups of atoms are constrained by symmetry to be perpendicular. The $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{ar}=$ aromatic $)$ bond distances are almost identical in (I) and acetanilide (Brown, 1966), being 1.418 (4) and 1.417 (2) A respectively, as are the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{c}=$ carbonyl) distances, at 1.358 (4) and 1.355 (2) A, respectively. The equivalent distances in $N$-methylacetanilide (Pederson, 1967), where any electronic conjugation between the benzene ring and amide group is presumably impossible because of their perpendicular orientation, are distinctly different, with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{N}$ much longer at $1.474 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{N}$ significantly shorter at 1.325 Å.

The bond angle sum about N 1 in (I) is $360.0^{\circ}$, suggesting that this atom is essentially $s p^{2}$-hybridized. All the other geometrical parameters for (I) lie within their expected ranges (Allen et al., 1995).

The crystal packing in (I) is influenced by an $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond (Table 1 and Fig. 2) that links the molecules into chains propagating along [100]. There are no $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions in (I). The packing is shown in Fig. 3.

## Experimental

2-Bromoaniline ( $3.44 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of acetyl chloride ( $1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine) $(3.12 \mathrm{~g}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry tetrahydrofuran $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ at 273 K . On completion (as monitored by thin-layer chromatography), the reaction mixture was diluted with water $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and the product was


Figure 1
View of (I) (50\% probability displacement ellipsoids; H atoms are drawn as small spheres of arbitrary radii).


Figure 2
Detail of (I) showing how the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond (dashed lines) links molecules into a chain. The view direction is perpendicular to the mean plane of the benzene ring of the central molecule, showing that no $\pi-\pi$ stacking occurs. All H atoms except atom H 1 and its symmetry equivalents have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry codes: (i) $x-1, y, z$; (ii) $x+1, y, z$.]
extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic phase was then washed with water $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{ml})$, then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to yield the crude product, which was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give (I) (yield $97 \%, 4.13 \mathrm{~g}$ ) as clear needles; one of these was cut to a block for data collection; m.p. 363-364 K; $R_{\mathrm{F}}=0.12$ [hexane/EtOAc (5:1)]. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr} \mathrm{disc}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $\nu_{\max } 3272(\mathrm{NH}), 3159(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 1647(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1518(\mathrm{ArC=}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, s, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, t, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, t, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, d, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), $7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, b s, \mathrm{NH}), 8.31(1 \mathrm{H}, d, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 24.9\left(-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 113.2(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}), 122.0,125.2,128.4$, $132.2(4 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{C}), 135.7(-\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{C}-), 168.3(-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. Mass spectrum: $[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 212.979, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{BrNO}$ requires 212.979 .


Figure 3
The packing in (I), viewed down [100], with all H atoms except H 1 omitted for clarity.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{BrNO}$
$M_{r}=214.06$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=4.7790(1) \AA$
$b=11.9257(4) \AA$
$c=14.6703(3) \AA$
$\beta=96.8173(16)^{\circ}$
$V=830.19(4) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer $\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2003)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.387, T_{\text {max }}=0.726$
9212 measured reflections
1905 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.032$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.074$
$S=1.26$
1905 reflections
106 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$D_{x}=1.713 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 1977 reflections
$\theta=2.9-27.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=4.89 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=120$ (2) K
Block, colourless
$0.24 \times 0.10 \times 0.07 \mathrm{~mm}$

1750 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

$$
R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.037
$$

$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}$
$h=-6 \rightarrow 5$
$k=-15 \rightarrow 15$
$l=-18 \rightarrow 19$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+1.9011 P\right] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.76 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.38 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Extinction correction: SHELXL97
Extinction coefficient: 0.0163 (11)

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.83(4)$ | $2.10(4)$ | $2.896(3)$ | $161(3)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $x-1, y, z$.
All the C -bound H atoms were placed in idealized positions ( $\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{H}=0.95-0.98 \AA$ ) and refined as riding on their carriers with the constraint $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}($ carrier $)$ or $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}($ methyl carrier) applied. The methyl group was allowed to rotate about the $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8$ bond as a rigid group. The N -bound H atom was located in a
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difference map and its position was freely refined with the constraint $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{N})$.

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: SCALEPACK (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); data reduction: SCALEPACK, DENZO (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997) and SORTAV (Blessing, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

We thank the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service (University of Swansea) and the EPSRC National Crystallography Service (University of Southampton) for data collections.
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